arXiv:1609.09159v1 [hep-ph] 29 Sep 2016

# Three-loop vacuum integrals with arbitrary masses

Ayres Freitas

*Pittsburgh Particle-physics Astro-physics & Cosmology Center (PITT-PACC), Department of Physics & Astronomy, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, PA 15260, USA*

**Abstract**

Three-loop vacuum integrals are an important building block for the calculation of a wide range of three-loop corrections. Until now, only results for integrals with one and two independent mass scales are known, but in the electroweak Standard Model and many extensions thereof, one often encounters more mass scales of comparable magnitude. For this reason, a numerical approach for the evaluation of three-loop vacuum integrals with arbitrary mass pattern is proposed here. Concretely, one can identify a basic set of three master integral topologies. With the help of dispersion relations, each of these can be transformed into one-dimensional or, for the most complicated case, two-dimensional integrals in terms of elementary functions, which are suitable for efficient numerical integration.

# 1 Introduction

The need for higher-order radiative corrections is growing more and more important due to the increasing precision of measurements at the LHC and planned future colliders. The anticipated precision of future experiments will require the evaluation of three-loop corrections with arbitrary masses. For a recent review on this topic, see Ref. [1]. In this article, the calculation of general three-loop vacuum integrals is considered, *i. e.* integrals with vanishing external momentum and arbitrary propagator masses. Such integrals may arise in low-energy observables, in the coefficients of low-momentum expansions (see *e. g.* Ref. [2]) or as building blocks in more general three-loop calculations.

At the two-loop level, analytical formulae for general vacuum integrals have been known for some time [2, 3]. When expanding in powers of $\epsilon = (4-D)/2$ within dimensional regularization, they can be written in terms of polylogarithms. At the three-loop level, results for vacuum integrals are only available for one [4–8] and two [8,9]* independent mass scales. The derivation of analytical results for the class of two-scale three-loop vacuum integrals requires the introduction of harmonic polylogarithms [11], and some cases are only known numerically [8].

In light of these facts, a numerical approach to three-loop vacuum integrals with general mass pattern appears most promising. In the following, a method based on dispersion relations is proposed. This technique has been successfully used for the numerical evaluation of two-loop self-energy and vertex integrals [12, 13]. For most of the master integrals considered in this paper, the dispersion relation approach leads to one-dimensional numerical integral representations in terms of elementary functions. For the most complicated case, the six-propagator master integral, one may obtain a two-dimensional integral in terms of elementary functions†.

This article begins by defining the set of three-loop vacuum master integrals in section 2. Each master integral topology is discussed in turn in sections 3–5. Several special cases, which require a modification of the integral representations, are treated separately in sections 3 and 4. For the most complicated master integral, which is the subject of section 5, no

*See also Ref. [10] for some early results on two-scale three-loop on-shell integrals, where several important techniques for three-loop integrals were developed.

†It should be noted that another promising approach for the calculation of three-loop vacuum integrals is based on the numerical integration of differential equations, see Ref. [14].




**Figure 1:** *Basic master integral topologies considered in this paper. The dot indicates a propagator that is raised to the power 2.*

such special case has been identified so far. The paper finishes with some comments on the implementation of the numerical integrations in section 6 before concluding in section 7. Some useful formulae are collected in the appendix.

## 2 Definition of basic integrals

After trivial cancellations of numerator and denominator terms, a general scalar three-loop vacuum integral may be written in the form

$$\begin{aligned} M(\nu_1,\nu_2,\nu_3,\nu_4,\nu_5,\nu_6;m_1^2,m_2^2,m_3^2,m_4^2,m_5^2,m_6^2) \\ = i\frac{e^{3\gamma_{\rm E}\epsilon}}{\pi^{3D/2}}\int d^Dq_1\,d^Dq_2\,d^Dq_3\,\frac{1}{[q_1^2-m_1^2]^{\nu_1}[(q_1-q_2)^2-m_2^2]^{\nu_2}} \\ \times\frac{1}{[(q_2-q_3)^2-m_3^2]^{\nu_3}[q_3^2-m_4^2]^{\nu_4}[q_2^2-m_5^2]^{\nu_5}[(q_1-q_3)^2-m_6^2]^{\nu_6}}\,, \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

where $\epsilon=(4-D)/2$, $D$ is the number of dimensions in dimensional regularization, and $\nu_i$ are integer numbers. The complete set of three-loop vacuum integrals can be reduced to a small set of master integrals with the help of integration-by-parts identities [15][‡]. In most cases, not involving any special mass patterns, one can choose the following basis of three master integrals, see Fig. 1,

$$M(2,1,1,1,0,0)\equiv U_4(m_1^2,m_2^2,m_3^2,m_4^2), \tag{2}$$
$$M(1,1,1,1,1,0)\equiv U_5(m_1^2,m_2^2,m_3^2,m_4^2,m_5^2), \tag{3}$$
$$M(1,1,1,1,1,1)\equiv U_6(m_1^2,m_2^2,m_3^2,m_4^2,m_5^2,m_6^2), \tag{4}$$

besides integrals that factorize into products of one- and two-loop contributions. Two other simple topologies that are often encountered (see Fig. 2) can be reduced to these three with the help of integration-by-parts identities:

$$M(1,1,1,1,0,0)=\frac{2}{3D-8}\big[m_1^2\,U_4(m_1^2,m_2^2,m_3^2,m_4^2)+\mathrm{cycl}_{1234}\big], \tag{5}$$

$$\begin{aligned} M(1,1,1,1,-1,0)\big|_{m_5=0} = \Bigg\{\frac{2m_1^2}{3(D-2)(3D-8)}\big[(D-2)m_1^2+(7D-18)m_2^2 \\ -2(D-3)(m_3^2+m_4^2)\big]\,U_4(m_1^2,m_2^2,m_3^2,m_4^2) \\ +\frac{1}{3}A_0(m_2^2)A_0(m_3^2)A_0(m_4^2)\Bigg\}+\Big\{m_1\leftrightarrow m_2\Big\} \\ +\Big\{m_1\leftrightarrow m_3,m_2\leftrightarrow m_4\Big\}+\Big\{m_1\leftrightarrow m_4,m_2\leftrightarrow m_3\Big\}, \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

where "$\mathrm{cycl}_{1234}$" refers to cyclic permutations of $\{m_1,m_2,m_3,m_4\}$, and $A_0(m^2)$ is the standard one-loop vacuum function (see appendix C).

The master integrals $U_4$, $U_5$ and $U_6$ have the following symmetry properties:

[‡]The integration-by-parts technique may be augmented by other algorithms [16] to increase the efficiency of the reduction procedure.




$M(1,1,1,1,0,0)$ M(1,1,1,1,-1,0) ($m_5$=0)

**Figure 2:** *Other basic scalar integral topologies, which can be expressed in terms of the ones in Fig. 1. The cross indicates a propagator that is raised to the power −1.*

- $U_4(m_1^2, m_2^2, m_3^2, m_4^2)$ is symmetric under arbitrary permutations of $m_{2,3,4}$.
- $U_5(m_1^2, m_2^2, m_3^2, m_4^2, m_5^2)$ is symmetric under the replacements $\{m_1 \leftrightarrow m_2\}$, $\{m_3 \leftrightarrow m_4\}$, and $\{m_1 \leftrightarrow m_3,\, m_2 \leftrightarrow m_4\}$, as well as any combination thereof.
- $U_6(m_1^2, m_2^2, m_3^2, m_4^2, m_5^2, m_6^2)$ is symmetric under the replacements $\{m_2 \leftrightarrow m_3,\, m_1 \leftrightarrow m_4\}$, $\{m_2 \leftrightarrow m_6,\, m_4 \leftrightarrow m_5\}$, $\{m_1 \leftrightarrow m_6,\, m_3 \leftrightarrow m_5\}$, and any combination thereof.

# 3 $U_4$

## 3.1 General case

Let us begin with a dispersion relation for the double bubble loop integral in Fig. 3,

$$I_{\rm db}(p^2, m_1^2, m_2^2, m_3^2, m_4^2) \equiv B_{0,m_1}(p^2, m_1^2, m_2^2) B_0(p^2, m_3^2, m_4^2) = \int_0^\infty ds\, \frac{\Delta I_{\rm db}(s)}{s - p^2 - i\varepsilon}, \tag{7}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta I_{\rm db}(s, m_1^2, m_2^2, m_3^2, m_4^2) &= \Delta B_{0,m_1}(s, m_1^2, m_2^2)\, B_0(s, m_3^2, m_4^2) \\ &\quad + B_{0,m_1}(s, m_1^2, m_2^2)\, \Delta B_0(s, m_3^2, m_4^2),\end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

where $B_0$ is the standard scalar one-loop self-energy function (see appendix C), and $B_{0,m_1}(p^2, m_1^2, m_2^2) = \frac{\partial}{\partial m_1^2} B_0(p^2, m_1^2, m_2^2)$. The discontinuities of these two functions are denoted by $\Delta B_0$ and $\Delta B_{0,m_1}$, respectively. In $D = 4$ dimensions, they are given by

$$\Delta B_0(s, m_a^2, m_b^2) = \frac{1}{s} \lambda(s, m_a^2, m_b^2)\, \Theta\big(s - (m_a + m_b)^2\big)\,, \tag{9}$$

$$\Delta B_{0,m_1}(s, m_a^2, m_b^2) = \frac{m_a^2 - m_b^2 - s}{s\, \lambda(s, m_a^2, m_b^2)}\, \Theta\big(s - (m_a + m_b)^2\big)\,, \tag{10}$$

where

$$\lambda(x, y, z) = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2 + z^2 - 2(xy + yz + xz)}\,, \tag{11}$$

and $\Theta(t)$ is the Heaviside step function.




**Figure 3:** *Double-bubble two-loop sub-topology.*

Inserting the dispersion relation eq. (7) into the three-loop integral $U_4$, one obtains

$$U_4(m_1^2, m_2^2, m_3^2, m_4^2) = -\frac{e^{\gamma_{\mathrm{E}}\epsilon}}{i\pi^{D/2}} \int d^D q_3 \int_0^\infty ds\, \frac{\Delta I_{\mathrm{db}}(s)}{q_3^2 - s + i\varepsilon} \tag{12}$$

$$= -\int_0^\infty ds\, A_0(s)\, \Delta I_{\mathrm{db}}(s)\,. \tag{13}$$

This integral is divergent, and thus a numerical integration of (13) in $D = 4$ dimension is not possible. Instead one may consider the sum

$$\begin{aligned} U_4(m_1^2, m_2^2, m_3^2, m_4^2) = {} & U_4(m_1^2, m_2^2, 0, 0) + U_4(m_1^2, 0, m_3^2, 0) + U_4(m_1^2, 0, 0, m_4^2) \\ & - 2\, U_4(m_1^2, 0, 0, 0) + U_{4,\mathrm{sub}}(m_1^2, m_2^2, m_3^2, m_4^2)\,. \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

The $U_4$ master integrals with one or two non-zero masses can be calculated analytically, with results collected in appendix B. The remainder $U_{4,\mathrm{sub}}$ is finite and can be integrated numerically. It is given by

$$U_{4,\mathrm{sub}}(m_1^2, m_2^2, m_3^2, m_4^2) = -\int_0^\infty ds\, A_{0,\mathrm{fin}}(s)\, \Delta I_{\mathrm{db,sub}}(s)\,, \tag{15}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & I_{\mathrm{db,sub}}(s, m_1^2, m_2^2, m_3^2, m_4^2) = \\ & \quad \Delta B_{0,m_1}(s, m_1^2, m_2^2)\, \mathrm{Re}\big\{B_0(s, m_3^2, m_4^2) - B_0(s, 0, 0)\big\} \\ & \quad - \Delta B_{0,m_1}(s, m_1^2, 0)\, \mathrm{Re}\big\{B_0(s, 0, m_3^2) + B_0(s, 0, m_4^2) - 2B_0(s, 0, 0)\big\} \\ & \quad + \mathrm{Re}\big\{B_{0,m_1}(s, m_1^2, m_2^2)\big\}\, \big[\Delta B_0(s, m_3^2, m_4^2) - \Delta B_0(s, 0, 0)\big] \\ & \quad - \mathrm{Re}\big\{B_{0,m_1}(s, m_1^2, 0)\big\}\, \big[\Delta B_0(s, 0, m_3^2) + \Delta B_0(s, 0, m_4^2) - 2\, \Delta B_0(s, 0, 0)\big]\,, \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

which has been written in a way that makes its finiteness manifest. The divergent part of the $A_0$ function in eq. (15) integrates to zero and thus can be ignored.

### 3.2 Special case: $m_1 = 0$

A special treatment is required for the case $m_1 = 0$, when $U_4(m_1^2, m_2^2, m_3^2, m_4^2)$ develops an infrared divergence. In this case one can take advantage of the identity

$$\begin{aligned} U_4(0, m_2^2, m_3^2, m_4^2) = {} & B_0(0, 0, 0)\, T_3(m_2^2, m_3^2, m_4^2) - B_0(0, \delta^2, \delta^2)\, T_3(m_2^2, m_3^2, m_4^2) \\ & + U_4(\delta^2, m_2^2, m_3^2, m_4^2) + \mathcal{O}(\delta^2), \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

where $T_3$ is the basic scalar two-loop vacuum integral (see appendix C), and $\delta$ is an infinitesimal mass parameter. In principle, one could directly evaluate $U_4(\delta^2, m_2^2, m_3^2, m_4^2)$ for a small

numerical value of $\delta$ according to the prescription in the previous subsection, although one has to pay the price of having numerical cancellations between the two $\log\delta$ terms from the last two terms in eq. (17).

Alternatively, it is possible to extract the $\log\delta$ dependence explicitly from $U_4(\delta^2, m_2^2, m_3^2, m_4^2)$. For this purpose, let us consider the following small $\delta$ expansions:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Re}\big\{B_{0,m_1}(s,\delta^2,m_2^2)\big\} &= \frac{1}{s-m_2^2}\left[\left(1+\frac{m_2^2}{s}\right)\mathrm{Re}\Big\{\log\frac{m_2^2-s}{m_2^2}\Big\} - \log\frac{\delta^2}{m_2^2}\right] \\
&\quad - \pi^2\delta(s-m_2^2) + \mathcal{O}(\delta^2), \qquad (18)\\
\mathrm{Re}\big\{B_{0,m_1}(s,\delta^2,0)\big\} &= \frac{1}{s}\log\frac{s}{\delta^2} + \mathcal{O}(\delta^2), \qquad (19)\\
\int_0^\infty ds\,\Delta B_{0,m_1}(s,\delta^2,m_2^2)\,f(s) &= \int_0^\infty ds\,\Delta B_{0,m_1}(s,0,m_2^2)\left[f(s) - f(m_2^2)\frac{m_2^2}{s}\right] \\
&\quad + \left(1+\log\frac{\delta^2}{m_2^2}\right)f(m_2^2) + \mathcal{O}(\delta^2), \qquad (20)
\end{aligned}$$

where $f(s)$ is some arbitrary well-behaved function that does not depend on $\delta$. For the remaining the terms in the integrand, involving $B_0$ and $\Delta B_0$ functions, one can simply set $\delta$ to zero. One then obtains

$$U_{4,\mathrm{sub}}(\delta^2, m_2^2, m_3^2, m_4^2) = -\int_0^\infty ds\,A_{0,\mathrm{fin}}(s)\,\Delta I_{\mathrm{db,sub},0}(s) + U_{4,\mathrm{add},0}(\delta^2, m_2^2, m_3^2, m_4^2)\,, \qquad (21)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&I_{\mathrm{db,sub},0}(s, m_2^2, m_3^2, m_4^2) = \\
&\quad \Delta B_{0,m_1}(s,0,m_2^2)\Big[\mathrm{Re}\big\{B_0(s,m_3^2,m_4^2) - B_0(s,0,0)\big\} \\
&\qquad - \frac{m_2^2 A_{0,\mathrm{fin}}(m_2^2)}{s\,A_{0,\mathrm{fin}}(s)}\,\mathrm{Re}\big\{B_0(m_2^2,m_3^2,m_4^2) - B_0(m_2^2,0,0)\big\}\Big] \\
&\quad - \Delta B_{0,m_1}(s,0,0)\,\mathrm{Re}\big\{B_0(s,0,m_3^2) + B_0(s,0,m_4^2) - 2B_0(s,0,0)\big\} \\
&\quad + \frac{s+m_2^2}{s(s-m_2^2)}\mathrm{Re}\Big\{\log\frac{m_2^2-s}{m_2^2}\Big\}\left[\Delta B_0(s,m_3^2,m_4^2) - \Delta B_0(s,0,0)\right] \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{s}\log\frac{s}{m_2^2}\left[\Delta B_0(s,0,m_3^2) + \Delta B_0(s,0,m_4^2) - 2\,\Delta B_0(s,0,0)\right], \qquad (22)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&U_{4,\mathrm{add},0}(\delta^2, m_2^2, m_3^2, m_4^2) = \\
&\quad - \left(1+\log\frac{\delta^2}{m_2^2}\right)A_{0,\mathrm{fin}}(m_2^2)\,\mathrm{Re}\big\{B_0(m_2^2,m_3^2,m_4^2) - B_0(m_2^2,0,0)\big\} \\
&\quad - \log\frac{\delta^2}{m_2^2}\int_0^\infty ds\,\frac{1}{s-m_2^2}A_{0,\mathrm{fin}}(s)\left[\Delta B_0(s,m_3^2,m_4^2) - \Delta B_0(s,0,0)\right] \\
&\quad + \log\frac{\delta^2}{m_2^2}\int_0^\infty ds\,\frac{1}{s}A_{0,\mathrm{fin}}(s)\left[\Delta B_0(s,0,m_3^2) + \Delta B_0(s,0,m_4^2) - 2\,\Delta B_0(s,0,0)\right] \\
&\quad - \pi^2 A_{0,\mathrm{fin}}(m_2^2)\left[\Delta B_0(m_2^2,m_3^2,m_4^2) - \Delta B_0(m_2^2,0,0)\right] \qquad (23)
\end{aligned}$$

$$= -\log\frac{\delta^2}{m_2^2}\Big[T_3(m_2^2,m_3^2,m_4^2) - \sum_{i=2}^{4} T_3(m_i^2,0,0)\Big]$$
$$-A_{0,\text{fin}}(m_2^2)\,\Big[\text{Re}\big\{B_0(m_2^2,m_3^2,m_4^2) - B_0(m_2^2,0,0)\big\}$$
$$- \pi^2\,\Delta B_0(m_2^2,m_3^2,m_4^2) + \pi^2\,\Delta B_0(m_2^2,0,0)\Big]\,. \tag{24}$$

# 4 $U_5$

## 4.1 General case

The master integral $U_5$ can be addressed with a dispersion relation similar to eq. 7. As in the previous section, one first needs to subtract the divergencies to arrive at a finite integral suitable for numerical evaluation. For this purpose, it is useful to consider the following relation, which has been derived from integration-by-parts identities:

$$U_5(m_1^2,m_2^2,m_3^2,m_4^2,m_5^2) = F\big[A_0(m_i),\,T_3(m_i,m_j,m_k),\,U_4(m_i,m_j,m_k,m_l)\big]$$
$$+\,\frac{\lambda_{125}^2\lambda_{345}^2}{(3-D)^2(m_2^2-m_1^2+m_5^2)(m_3^2-m_4^2+m_5^2)}M(2,1,1,2,1,0)\,, \tag{25}$$

where $F[...]$ is a linear combination of $U_4$ functions and products of $A_0$ and $T_3$ functions, whose explicit form is too lengthy to be included here. It is provided as an ancillary file in MATHEMATICA format in the arXiv submission. Furthermore, $\lambda_{ijk} = \lambda(m_i^2,m_j^2,m_k^2)$.

For $m_{1,4} > 0$, $M(2,1,1,2,1,0)$ is finite and can be computed numerically. If $m_1 = 0$ ($m_4 = 0$) one can make the trivial replacement $m_1 \leftrightarrow m_2$ ($m_3 \leftrightarrow m_4$). The case when both masses in a sub-loop bubble are zero (*e. g.* $m_1 = m_2 = 0$) will be treated in section 4.3.

Following the approach of section 3.1, $M(2,1,1,2,1,0)$ can be expressed in terms of a dispersion integral. The relevant dispersion relation reads

$$I_{\text{db2}}(p^2,m_1^2,m_2^2,m_3^2,m_4^2) \equiv B_{0,m_1}(p^2,m_1^2,m_2^2)B_{0,m_1}(p^2,m_4^2,m_3^2) = \int_0^\infty ds\,\frac{\Delta I_{\text{db2}}(s)}{s-p^2-i\varepsilon}, \tag{26}$$

$$\Delta I_{\text{db2}}(s,m_1^2,m_2^2,m_3^2,m_4^2) = \Delta B_{0,m_1}(s,m_1^2,m_2^2)\,B_{0,m_1}(s,m_4^2,m_3^2)$$
$$+\,B_{0,m_1}(s,m_1^2,m_2^2)\,\Delta B_{0,m_1}(s,m_4^2,m_3^2). \tag{27}$$

Inserting this expression into the $U_5$ integral, one finds

$$U_5(m_1^2,m_2^2,m_3^2,m_4^2,m_5^2) = -\frac{e^{\gamma_{\text{E}}\epsilon}}{i\pi^{D/2}}\int d^Dq_3\int_0^\infty ds\,\frac{\Delta I_{\text{db2}}(s)}{[q_3^2-s+i\varepsilon][q_3^2-m_5^2+i\varepsilon]} \tag{28}$$
$$= -\int_0^\infty ds\,B_0(0,s,m_5^2)\,\text{Re}\big\{\Delta I_{\text{db2}}(s)\big\}\,. \tag{29}$$

The divergent part of the $B_0$ function in eq. (29) integrates to zero, and thus one can immediately replace $B_0(...) \to B_{0,\text{fin}}(\dots)$.

By combining eq. (29) with eq. (25) and evaluating the $U_4$ functions in eq. (25) according to the procedure discussed in the previous section, one thus arrives at a final result for $U_5$.

### 4.2 Special case: $m_1^2 = m_2^2 + m_5^2$

The formula (25) is not valid for the case $m_1^2 = m_2^2 + m_5^2$.[§] As long as $m_5 > 0$, this problem can be avoided by simply flipping $m_1 \leftrightarrow m_2$. A special treatment is needed, however, for the case $m_5 = 0$ and $m_1 = m_2$. In this case, instead of eq. (25) one can use the modified formula

$$\begin{aligned} U_5(m_1^2, m_1^2, m_3^2, m_4^2, 0) &= F'\big[A_0(m_i),\, T_3(m_i, m_j, m_k),\, U_4(m_i, m_j, m_k, m_l)\big] \\ &+ \frac{4m_1^2 m_4^2 (m_4^2 - m_3^2)\, \lambda^2(4m_1^2, m_3^2, m_4^2)}{(3-D)[(3-D)m_4^2\, \lambda^2(4m_1^2, m_3^2, m_4^2) + 2m_1^2(4m_1^2 m_3^2 + 4m_1^2 m_4^2 - 8m_3^2 m_4^2 - m_3^4 - m_4^4)]} \\ &\times M(2,1,1,2,1,0)\,. \end{aligned} \tag{30}$$

The full expression for the terms involving $A_0$, $T_3$ and $U_4$ functions is again provided in the ancillary file. The finite remainder $M(2,1,1,2,1,0)$ can be evaluated as above.

### 4.3 Special case: $m_1 = m_2 = 0$

While the integral $U_5$ is finite for $m_1 = m_2 = 0$, the application of the procedure in section 4.1 would lead to spurious divergencies in several terms in eq. (25) that only cancel in the sum. Instead, the following integration-by-parts relation proves more useful for this situation:

$$\begin{aligned} U_5(0, 0, m_3^2, m_4^2, m_5^2) &= F''\big[A_0(m_i),\, T_3(m_i, m_j, m_k),\, U_4(m_i, m_j, m_k, m_l)\big] \\ &+ \frac{\lambda_{345}^2}{(3-D)(m_3^2 - m_4^2 + m_5^2)} \bigg[ \frac{m_5^2 - m_4^2}{3-D}\, M(2,1,1,2,1,0;\, m_4^2, 0, m_3^2, m_4^2, m_5^2) \\ &\qquad - m_4^2\, M(1',1,1,2,1,0;\, m_4^2, 0, m_3^2, m_4^2, m_5^2)\,, \end{aligned} \tag{31}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} &M(1',1,1,2,1,0;\, m_4^2, 0, m_3^2, m_4^2, m_5^2) \\ &\quad \equiv i \frac{e^{3\gamma_{\mathrm{E}}\epsilon}}{\pi^{3D/2}} \int d^D q_1\, d^D q_2\, d^D q_3\, \frac{1}{q_1^2[q_1^2 - m_4^2](q_1 - q_2)^2} \\ &\qquad\qquad \times \frac{1}{[(q_2 - q_3)^2 - m_3^2][q_3^2 - m_4^2][q_2^2 - m_5^2]}\,, \qquad (32) \\ &\quad = -\int_0^\infty ds\, B_0(0, s, m_5^2)\, \mathrm{Re}\big\{\Delta I_{\mathrm{db3}}(s)\big\}\,, \qquad (33) \end{aligned}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta I_{\mathrm{db3}}(s, m_4^2, 0, m_3^2, m_4^2) &= \frac{1}{m_4^2}\big[\Delta B_0(s, 0, m_4^2) - \Delta B_0(s, 0, 0)\big]\, B_{0,m_1}(s, m_4^2, m_3^2) \\ &+ \frac{1}{m_4^2}\big[B_0(s, 0, m_4^2) - B_0(s, 0, 0)\big]\, \Delta B_{0,m_1}(s, m_4^2, m_3^2)\,. \end{aligned}$$

[§]The similar case $m_4^2 = m_3^2 + m_5^2$ can be mapped to the former by flipping the two sub-bubbles of $U_5$, *i. e.* $\{m_1 \leftrightarrow m_3,\, m_2 \leftrightarrow m_4\}$.




**Figure 4:** *Two-loop five-propagator self-energy master integral, $T_5$.*

$M(1', 1, 1, 2, 1, 0)$ is finite (for $m_4 > 0$) and can be evaluated numerically. As above, the full expression $F''$ involving $A_0$, $T_3$ and $U_4$ functions is provided in the ancillary file.

The condition $m_4 > 0$ cannot be fulfilled, even with the help of the symmetry relations at the end of section 2, only if $m_1 = m_2 = m_3 = m_4 = 0$, but this case can be solved analytically and is given in the appendix.

## 5 $U_6$

Without loss of generality, it is assumed in the following that $m_6 \geq m_i$ for $i = 1, \ldots, 5$. Following Ref. [5], one can write the $U_6$ master integral in terms of

$$U_6(m_1^2, m_2^2, m_3^2, m_4^2, m_5^2, m_6^2) = -\int_0^\infty ds\, B_0(0, s, m_5^2)\, \mathrm{Re}\big\{\Delta T_5(s, m_1^2, m_2^2, m_3^2, m_4^2, m_6^2)\big\}, \quad (34)$$

where $\Delta T_5$ is the discontinuity of the two-loop five-propagator self-energy master integral shown in Fig. 4. Evidently, eq. (34) is divergent, but one can arrive at a finite integral by considering the difference between the general $U_6$ integral and a simpler $U_6$ with only one independent mass scale:

$$\begin{aligned} U_6(m_1^2, m_2^2, m_3^2, m_4^2, m_5^2, m_6^2) &= U_6(m_6^2, m_6^2, m_6^2, m_6^2, m_6^2, m_6^2) \\ &\quad - \int_0^\infty ds \Big[B_{0,\mathrm{fin}}(0, s, m_5^2)\, \mathrm{Re}\big\{\Delta T_5(s, m_1^2, m_2^2, m_3^2, m_4^2, m_6^2)\big\} \\ &\qquad - B_{0,\mathrm{fin}}(0, s, m_6^2)\, \mathrm{Re}\big\{\Delta T_5(s, m_6^2, m_6^2, m_6^2, m_6^2, m_6^2)\big\}\Big] \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

The special case of $U_6$ with identical masses in all six propagators is known analytically [5],

$$\begin{aligned} U_6(m_6^2, m_6^2, m_6^2, m_6^2, m_6^2, m_6^2) &= \frac{2\zeta(3)}{\epsilon} + 6\zeta(3) - 17\zeta(4) - 4\zeta(2)\log^2 2 + \tfrac{2}{3}\log^4 2 \\ &\quad + 16\,\mathrm{Li}_4(\tfrac{1}{2}) - 4\,\mathrm{Cl}_2^2(\pi/3), \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$

where $\zeta(x)$ is the Riemann zeta function, and $\mathrm{Cl}_2$ is the second Clausen function. The discontinuity $\Delta T_5$ can be written as a sum over the possible two- and three-particle cuts [13, 17],

$$\Delta T_5(s, m_1^2, m_2^2, m_3^2, m_4^2, m_6^2) = \Delta T_5^{(2a)} + \Delta T_5^{(2b)} + \Delta T_5^{(3a)} + \Delta T_5^{(3b)}, \quad (37)$$

$$\Delta T_5^{(2a)} = -\Delta B_0(s, m_1^2, m_2^2) \int_{(m_3+m_4)^2}^\infty dt\, \frac{[\Delta C_0(t - i\varepsilon, m_1^2, m_2^2; m_3^2, m_4^2, m_6^2)]^*}{t - s + i\varepsilon}, \quad (38)$$

$$\Delta T_5^{(2b)} = [\Delta T_5^{(2a)}(m_2 \leftrightarrow m_3, m_1 \leftrightarrow m_4)]^*, \tag{39}$$

$$\Delta T_5^{(3a)} = \int_{(m_4+m_6)^2}^{(\sqrt{s}-m_2)^2} dt\, \frac{\Delta B_0(s,t,m_2^2)\, \Delta C_0(t,m_2^2,s;m_4^2,m_6^2,m_3^2)}{t-m_1^2+i\varepsilon}\,, \tag{40}$$

$$\Delta T_5^{(3b)} = \Delta T_5^{(3a)}(m_2 \leftrightarrow m_3, m_1 \leftrightarrow m_4), \tag{41}$$

where

$$\Delta C_0(s,p_2^2,p_3^2;m_1^2,m_2^2,m_3^2) = -\frac{2\,\mathrm{Artanh}(b/a)}{\lambda(s,p_2^2,p_3^2)}\,\Theta\big(s-(m_1+m_2)^2\big)\,, \tag{42}$$

$$a(s,p_2^2,p_3^2;m_1^2,m_2^2,m_3^2) = s(s+2m_3^2-p_2^2-p_3^2-m_1^2-m_2^2)+(p_3^2-p_2^2)(m_1^2-m_2^2)\,, \tag{43}$$

$$b(s,p_2^2,p_3^2;m_1^2,m_2^2) = \lambda(s,p_2^2,p_3^2)\,\lambda(s,m_1^2,m_2^2)\,. \tag{44}$$

In general, eq. (38) also contains a contribution from an anomalous threshold [13], but for the mass ordering $m_6 \geq m_i$ it is never encountered.

Combining eqs. (35)–(44) one arrives at a two-dimensional integral representation for $U_6$ in terms of elementary functions, such a logarithms and square roots.

Alternatively, the two-particle cut contributions (2a) and (2b) can also be written directly in terms of the tree-point function $C_0$,

$$\Delta T_5^{(2a)} = -\Delta B_0(s,m_1^2,m_2^2)\,[C_0(s,m_1^2,m_2^2;m_3^2,m_4^2,m_6^2)]^*, \tag{45}$$

$$\Delta T_5^{(2b)} = [\Delta T_5^{(2a)}(m_2 \leftrightarrow m_3, m_1 \leftrightarrow m_4)]^*. \tag{46}$$

Explicit formulae for the $C_0$ function in terms of polylogarithms can be found in Ref. [19]. Therefore, by using eq. (45) one arrives at one-dimensional numerical integrals for the (2a) and (2b) terms, but at the cost of requiring non-elementary functions in the integrand.

The numerical dispersion relation based on eq. (35) proved to be applicable to a large variety of different mass configurations, without the need to consider special cases as in the previous two sections.

## 6 Numerical integration and checks

The dispersion relation techniques introduced in the previous sections lead to one- and two-dimensional integrals, which can be efficiently evaluated with numerical methods. In many cases, one encounters integrals of the form

$$\int_{s_0}^{\infty} ds\, \frac{f(s)}{s-s'\pm i\varepsilon}\,. \tag{47}$$

For $s' > s_0$, these can be split into a residuum contribution and principal value integral, resulting in

$$\int_{s_0}^{\infty} ds\, \frac{f(s)}{s-s'\pm i\varepsilon} = \mp i\pi f(s') + \int_{s_0}^{s'} ds\, \frac{f(s)-f(2s'-s)}{s-s'} + \int_{2s'-s_0}^{\infty} ds\, \frac{f(s)}{s-s'}\,. \tag{48}$$

In this expression, the integrand of the first remaining integral is now regular at the point $s = s'$. If $f(s)$ is real, the residuum contribution can be dropped since only the real part is needed for the evaluation of the three-loop vacuum integrals.

Results have been tested both within MATHEMATICA 10 [20] and in C++ using the Gauss-Kronrod algorithm from the QUADPACK library [21]. The following checks were carried out for the $U_4$ and $U_5$ master integrals: At least ten digits agreement were obtained when comparing with the numbers in Ref. [5], the integrals eq. (24) of Ref. [6], and the results for the $J^{(3)}_{7a}$, $J^{(3)}_{7b}$, $J^{(3)}_{9a}$, $J^{(3)}_{9b}$, $J^{(3)}_{10a}$ and $J^{(3)}_{10b}$ integrals in Ref. [8]¶. These correspond to $U_4$, $U_5$ and $U_6$ integrals with one or two different mass scales. Similarly good agreement was obtained for the $J^{(3)}_{1}$, $J^{(3)}_{3}$, $J^{(3)}_{8a}$, $J^{(3)}_{8b}$ integrals in Ref. [8], which are not among the set of master integrals in Fig. 1, but which can easily be derived using eq. (5).

A public computer code, currently under development, will be presented in a future publication.

## 7 Conclusions

A general three-loop vacuum integral can be reduced to one of the three master integral topologies shown in Fig. 1. The master integrals can be evaluated analytically for all cases with one independent mass scale, for several known cases with two independent mass scales. For general mass patterns, however, numerical integration techniques need to be employed.

Using a methods based on dispersion relations, the four-propagator master integral $U_4$ and the five-propagator master integral $U_5$ can be expressed as one-dimensional numerical integrals in terms of elementary functions, such a logarithms and square roots. Similarly, the six-propagator master $U_6$ can be representated by a two-dimensional numerical integral in terms of elementary functions. These numerical integrals can be efficiently evaluated numerically, yielding results with at least ten digits precision for the $U_4$ and $U_5$ functions and eights digit precision for the $U_6$ function.

To ensure that the numerical integrals are UV-finite, the divergent pieces of the $U_4$, $U_5$ and $U_6$ must be subtracted beforehand. This can be achieved by subtracting suitable linear combinations of special cases of these integrals, which can be evaluated analytically. A public computer code that carries out these subtractions and the numerical integrations is in development and will be presented in a future publication.

## Acknowledgments

It is a pleasure to acknowledge discussions with S. P. Martin and D. G. Robertson, as well as comparisons with their method for addressing the same problem. The author also thanks S. Bauberger for sharing details of his implementation of the dispersion integral method. This work has been supported in part by the National Science Foundation under grant no. PHY-1519175.

¶Note that some of the results in Ref. [8] have been obtained through numerical evaluation and interpolation, which may limit the level of achievable numerical agreement.

## A Divergent parts of master integrals

This section presents analytic results for the divergent part of the master integrals in Fig. 1.

$$
\begin{aligned}
U_4(m_1^2,m_2^2,m_3^2,m_4^2) &= \frac{1}{\epsilon^3}\sum_{i=2}^{4}\frac{m_i^2}{3}+\frac{1}{\epsilon^2}\biggl[-\frac{m_1^2}{6}+\sum_{i=2}^{4}m_i^2\biggl(\frac{5}{6}-\frac{\log m_i^2}{2}-\frac{\log m_1^2}{2}\biggr)\biggr]\\
&+\frac{1}{\epsilon}\biggl[m_1^2\biggl(-1+\frac{\log m_1^2}{2}\biggr)+\sum_{i=2}^{4}m_i^2\biggl(\frac{4}{3}+\frac{\pi^2}{12}-\log m_i^2-\frac{3}{2}\log m_1^2\\
&\qquad+\frac{1}{4}\log^2 m_i^2+\frac{1}{4}\log^2 m_1^2+\log m_i^2\log m_1^2\biggr)\biggr]\\
&+U_{4,\mathrm{fin}}(m_1^2,m_2^2,m_3^2,m_4^2),
\end{aligned}
\tag{49}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
U_4(0,m_2^2,m_3^2,m_4^2) &= -\frac{1}{\epsilon^3}\sum_{i=2}^{4}\frac{m_i^2}{6}+\frac{1}{\epsilon^2}\sum_{i=2}^{4}m_i^2\biggl(-\frac{2}{3}+\frac{\log m_i^2}{2}\biggr)\\
&+\frac{1}{\epsilon}\biggl[\sum_{i=2}^{4}m_i^2\biggl(\frac{4}{3}+\frac{\pi^2}{24}-\log m_i^2+\frac{1}{4}\log^2 m_i^2\biggr)-T_{3,\mathrm{fin}}(m_2^2,m_3^2,m_4^2)\biggr]\\
&+U_{4,\mathrm{fin}}(0,m_2^2,m_3^2,m_4^2),
\end{aligned}
\tag{50}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
U_5(m_1^2,m_2^2,m_3^2,m_4^2,m_5^2) &= \frac{1}{\epsilon^3}\biggl[\sum_{i=1}^{4}\frac{m_i^2}{6}+\frac{m_5^2}{3}\biggr]\\
&+\frac{1}{\epsilon^2}\biggl[\sum_{i=1}^{4}m_i^2\biggl(1-\frac{\log m_i^2}{2}\biggr)+m_5^2\biggl(\frac{5}{3}-\log m_5^2\biggr)\biggr]\\
&+\frac{1}{\epsilon}\biggl[\sum_{i=1}^{4}m_i^2\biggl(\frac{25}{6}+\frac{\pi^2}{24}-3\log m_i^2+\frac{1}{4}\log^2 m_i^2\biggr)\\
&\qquad+m_5^2\biggl(\frac{17}{3}+\frac{\pi^2}{12}-5\log m_5^2+\frac{1}{2}\log^2 m_5^2\biggr)\\
&\qquad+\frac{1}{2}\Bigl((m_1^2+m_2^2-m_5^2)\log m_1^2\log m_2^2+\mathrm{cycl}_{125}\Bigr)\\
&\qquad+\frac{1}{2}\Bigl((m_3^2+m_4^2-m_5^2)\log m_3^2\log m_4^2+\mathrm{cycl}_{345}\Bigr)\\
&\qquad+\lambda_{125}\biggl(\frac{\pi^2}{6}-\frac{1}{2}\log\frac{m_1^2}{m_5^2}\log\frac{m_2^2}{m_5^2}+\log u_{125}\log v_{125}-\mathrm{Li}_2\,u_{125}-\mathrm{Li}_2\,v_{125}\biggr)\\
&\qquad+\lambda_{345}\biggl(\frac{\pi^2}{6}-\frac{1}{2}\log\frac{m_3^2}{m_5^2}\log\frac{m_4^2}{m_5^2}+\log u_{345}\log v_{345}-\mathrm{Li}_2\,u_{345}-\mathrm{Li}_2\,v_{345}\biggr)\biggr]\\
&+U_{5,\mathrm{fin}}(m_1^2,m_2^2,m_3^2,m_4^2,m_5^2),
\end{aligned}
\tag{51}
$$

where "$\mathrm{cycl}_{ijk}$" refers to cyclic permutations of $\{m_i,m_j,m_k\}$, and

$$
\lambda_{ijk}=\sqrt{m_i^4+m_j^4+m_k^4-2(m_i^2m_j^2+m_i^2m_k^2+m_j^2m_k^2)},
\tag{52}
$$

$$u_{ijk} = \frac{1}{2m_k^2}(m_i^2 - m_j^2 + m_k^2 - \lambda_{ijk}), \tag{53}$$

$$v_{ijk} = \frac{1}{2m_k^2}(m_j^2 - m_i^2 + m_k^2 - \lambda_{ijk}). \tag{54}$$

Furthermore [5, 18],

$$U_6(m_1^2, m_2^2, m_3^2, m_4^2, m_5^2, m_6^2) = \frac{1}{\epsilon} 2\zeta(3) + U_{6,\mathrm{fin}}(m_1^2, m_2^2, m_3^2, m_4^2, m_5^2, m_6^2), \tag{55}$$

where $\zeta(x)$ is the Riemann zeta function.

For completeness, the divergent terms of the four-propagator integral $M(1,1,1,1,0,0)$ are also quoted here:

$$\begin{aligned} &M(1,1,1,1,0,0;\, m_1^2, m_2^2, m_3^2, m_4^2) = \\ &\quad \frac{1}{\epsilon^3} \sum_{\substack{i,j=1 \\ i\neq j}}^{4} \frac{m_i^2 m_j^2}{6} + \frac{1}{\epsilon^2}\left[ -\sum_{i=1}^{4} \frac{m_i^4}{12} + \sum_{\substack{i,j=1 \\ i\neq j}}^{4} m_i^2 m_j^2 \left( \frac{2}{3} - \frac{\log m_i^2}{2} \right) \right] \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\epsilon}\left[ \sum_{i=1}^{4} m_i^4 \left( -\frac{5}{8} + \frac{\log m_i^2}{4} \right) + \sum_{\substack{i,j=1 \\ i\neq j}}^{4} m_i^2 m_j^2 \left( \frac{5}{3} + \frac{\pi^2}{24} - 2\log m_i^2 \right.\right. \\ &\qquad \left.\left. + \frac{1}{4}\log^2 m_i^2 + \frac{1}{2}\log m_i^2 \log m_j^2 \right) \right] + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^0)\,. \end{aligned} \tag{56}$$

# B Analytic results for some master integrals with one and two massive propagators

Various special cases of the $U_4$ and $U_5$ integrals with one and two massive propagators can be computed analytically to all orders in $\epsilon$ using Mellin-Barnes representations. The $\epsilon$ expansions of the hypergeometric ${}_2F_1$ functions below were performed with the help of the `HypExp` package [22], which internally utilizes the `HPL` package [23].

$$U_4(m_1^2, 0, 0, 0) = (m_1^2)^{1-3\epsilon}\, e^{3\gamma_{\mathrm{E}}\epsilon}\, 2\Gamma^2(1-\epsilon)\Gamma(-2+2\epsilon)\Gamma(-1+3\epsilon) \tag{57}$$

$$= -(m_1^2)^{1-3\epsilon}\left[ \frac{1}{6\epsilon^2} + \frac{1}{\epsilon} + \frac{100 + 5\pi^2}{24} \right] + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon)\,, \tag{58}$$

$$\begin{aligned} U_4(m_1^2, m_2^2, 0, 0) = (m_2^2)^{1-3\epsilon}\, e^{3\gamma_{\mathrm{E}}\epsilon}\, &\frac{\Gamma(1-\epsilon)\Gamma^2(-1+2\epsilon)\Gamma(-2+3\epsilon)}{\Gamma(-2+4\epsilon)} \\ &\times \left[ \Gamma(\epsilon)\, x^{-\epsilon}\, {}_2F_1(\epsilon, -1+2\epsilon, -2+4\epsilon; 1-x) \right. \\ &\left. - \frac{\Gamma(1+\epsilon)}{2-2\epsilon}\, x^{1-\epsilon}\, {}_2F_1(2\epsilon, 1+\epsilon, -1+4\epsilon; 1-x) \right] \end{aligned} \tag{59}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&= (m_2^2)^{1-3\epsilon}\bigg[\frac{1}{3\epsilon^3}+\frac{1}{\epsilon^2}\bigg(\frac{5-x}{6}-\frac{1}{2}\log x\bigg)\\
&\quad+\frac{1}{\epsilon}\bigg(\frac{16+\pi^2}{12}-x+\frac{x-3}{2}\log x+\frac{1}{4}\log^2 x\bigg)\\
&\quad+\bigg(\frac{20+5\pi^2+8\zeta(3)}{24}-\frac{100+\pi^2}{24}x+\frac{72x-84-11\pi^2}{24}\log x\\
&\qquad+\frac{3-x+4\log(1-x)}{4}\log^2 x-\frac{1}{12}\log^3 x\\
&\qquad+(x-1+\log x)\,\mathrm{Li}_2(1-x)+2\,\mathrm{Li}_3(x)\bigg)\bigg]+\mathcal{O}(\epsilon)\,, \qquad (60)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
U_4(0,0,m_1^2,m_2^2) &= -(m_2^2)^{1-3\epsilon}\,e^{3\gamma_{\mathrm{E}}\epsilon}\,\frac{\Gamma(1-\epsilon)\Gamma(-\epsilon)\Gamma(1+\epsilon)\Gamma^2(2\epsilon)\Gamma(-1+3\epsilon)}{\Gamma(2-\epsilon)\Gamma(4\epsilon)}\\
&\quad\times x^{1-\epsilon}\;{}_2F_1(1+\epsilon,2\epsilon,4\epsilon;1-x) \qquad (61)\\
&= -(m_2^2)^{1-3\epsilon}\bigg[\frac{1+x}{6\epsilon^3}+\frac{1}{\epsilon^2}\bigg(\frac{2(1+x)}{3}-\frac{x}{2}\log x\bigg)\\
&\quad+\frac{1}{\epsilon}\bigg(\frac{52+\pi^2}{12}(1+x)-2x\log x+\frac{x}{4}\log^2 x+(1-x)\,\mathrm{Li}_2(1-x)\bigg)\\
&\quad+\bigg(\frac{40+\pi^2}{6}(1+x)+\frac{\zeta(3)}{6}(13-11x)-\frac{156x-4\pi^2+15\pi^2x}{24}\log x\\
&\qquad+\frac{2x-(1-3x)\log(1-x)}{2}\log^2 x-\frac{x}{12}\log^3 x\\
&\qquad+[4(1-x)-(1-2x)\log x]\,\mathrm{Li}_2(1-x)\\
&\qquad-4(1-x)\,\mathrm{Li}_3(1-x)-(1-3x)\,\mathrm{Li}_3(x)\bigg)\bigg]+\mathcal{O}(\epsilon)\,, \qquad (62)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
U_5(0,0,0,0,m_1^2) &= (m_1^2)^{1-3\epsilon}\,e^{3\gamma_{\mathrm{E}}\epsilon}\,\frac{\Gamma^2(\epsilon)\Gamma^4(1-\epsilon)\Gamma(2-3\epsilon)\Gamma(-1+3\epsilon)}{\Gamma(2-\epsilon)\Gamma^2(2-2\epsilon)} \qquad (63)\\
&= (m_1^2)^{1-3\epsilon}\bigg[\frac{1}{3\epsilon^3}+\frac{5}{3\epsilon^2}+\frac{1}{\epsilon}\bigg(\frac{17}{3}+\frac{5\pi^2}{12}\bigg)+\bigg(\frac{49}{3}+\frac{25\pi^2}{12}-\frac{5\zeta(3)}{3}\bigg)\bigg]\\
&\quad+\mathcal{O}(\epsilon)\,, \qquad (64)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
U_5(m_1^2,m_2^2,0,0,0) &= -(m_2^2)^{1-3\epsilon}\,e^{3\gamma_{\mathrm{E}}\epsilon}\,\frac{\Gamma(\epsilon)\Gamma(1-\epsilon)\Gamma^2(2\epsilon)\Gamma(-1+3\epsilon)}{(1-\epsilon)(1-2\epsilon)\Gamma(4\epsilon)}\\
&\quad\times x^{1-\epsilon}\;{}_2F_1(1+\epsilon,2\epsilon,4\epsilon;1-x) \qquad (65)\\
&= (m_2^2)^{1-3\epsilon}\bigg[\frac{1+x}{6\epsilon^3}+\frac{1}{\epsilon^2}\bigg(1+x-\frac{x}{2}\log x\bigg)\\
&\quad+\frac{1}{\epsilon}\bigg(\frac{100+\pi^2}{24}(1+x)-3x\log x+\frac{x}{4}\log^2 x+(1-x)\,\mathrm{Li}_2(1-x)\bigg)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$+\Bigg(\frac{60+\pi^2}{4}(1+x)+\frac{\zeta(3)}{6}(13-11x)-\frac{300x-4\pi^2+15\pi^2x}{24}\log x$$
$$+\frac{3x-(1-3x)\log(1-x)}{2}\log^2 x-\frac{x}{12}\log^3 x$$
$$+[6(1-x)-(1-2x)\log x]\,\mathrm{Li}_2(1-x)$$
$$-4(1-x)\,\mathrm{Li}_3(1-x)-(1-3x)\,\mathrm{Li}_3(x)\Bigg)\Bigg]+\mathcal{O}(\epsilon)\,, \tag{66}$$

where

$$x=m_1^2/m_2^2. \tag{67}$$

The expressions for the one-scale integrals can be readily obtained from available results in the literature, see *e. g.* Ref. [7], but to the best of the author's knowledge the two-scale integrals in eqs. (59)–(62) and (65)–(66) have not been reported before[‖].

## C Expressions for one- and two-loop integrals

For the reader's convenience, this appendix lists the well-known formulas for various one- and two-loop functions that are used in this papers. The one-loop vacuum function is given by

$$A_0(m^2)=\frac{e^{\gamma_\mathrm{E}\epsilon}}{i\pi^{D/2}}\int d^Dq\,\frac{1}{q^2-m^2} \tag{68}$$
$$=-e^{\gamma_\mathrm{E}\epsilon}\,(m^2)^{1-\epsilon}\,\Gamma(-1+\epsilon) \tag{69}$$
$$=(m^2)^{1-\epsilon}\left[\frac{1}{\epsilon}+1+\epsilon\left(1+\frac{\pi^2}{12}\right)+\epsilon^2\left(1+\frac{\pi^2}{12}-\frac{\zeta(3)}{3}\right)\right]+\mathcal{O}(\epsilon^3)\,, \tag{70}$$

$$B_0(p^2,m_1^2,m_2^2)=\frac{e^{\gamma_\mathrm{E}\epsilon}}{i\pi^{D/2}}\int d^Dq\,\frac{1}{[q^2-m_1^2][(q+p)^2-m_2^2]} \tag{71}$$
$$=(p^2)^{-\epsilon}\left[\frac{1}{\epsilon}+2-\frac{\log(rs)}{2}+\frac{r-s}{2}\log\frac{s}{r}\right.$$
$$\left.+\lambda(1,r,s)\left(i\pi+\frac{\log(rs)}{2}-\log\frac{1-r-s+\lambda(1,r,s)}{2}\right)\right]+\mathcal{O}(\epsilon)\,, \tag{72}$$
$$B_0(p^2,0,m_1^2)=(p^2)^{-\epsilon}\left[\frac{1}{\epsilon}+2-r\log r+(1-r)\big(i\pi-\log(1-r)\big)\right]+\mathcal{O}(\epsilon)\,, \tag{73}$$

$$B_0(0,m^2,m^2)=(1-\epsilon)\frac{A_0(m^2)}{m^2}\,, \tag{74}$$
$$B_0(0,0,0)=0\,, \tag{75}$$

[‖]Similar results have been derived independently in Ref. [14].

where $r = m_1^2/p^2$, $s = m_2^2/p^2$, and $\lambda(...)$ is given in eq. (11). For a complex number $z = |z|e^{i\varphi}$ the logarithm is defined as

$$\log z = \log|z| + i\varphi, \qquad \varphi \in (-\pi, \pi]\,. \tag{76}$$

The mass derivative $B_{0,m_1}$ can be expressed in terms of $A_0$ and $B_0$ functions. After expanding in $\epsilon$ one obtains

$$B_{0,m_1}(p^2, m_1^2, m_2^2) = \lambda^{-2}(p^2, m_1^2, m_2^2)\bigg[(p^2 + m_2^2 - m_1^2)\Big(B_{0,\text{fin}}(p^2, m_1^2, m_2^2) + \log m_1^2 - 2\Big) + 2m_2^2 \log\frac{m_1^2}{m_2^2}\bigg] + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon)\,. \tag{77}$$

Finally, the two-loop vacuum integral is given by [2]

$$T_3(m_1^2, m_2^2, m_3^2) = -\frac{e^{2\gamma_\text{E}\epsilon}}{\pi^D}\int d^Dq_1\, d^Dq_2\, \frac{1}{[q_1^2 - m_1^2][q_2^2 - m_2^2][(q_1 - q_2)^2 - m_3^2]} \tag{78}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= e^{2\gamma_\text{E}\epsilon}\,(m_3^2)^{1-2\epsilon}\,\frac{\Gamma(1+\epsilon)^2}{2(1-\epsilon)(1-2\epsilon)}\bigg[\frac{1+x+y}{\epsilon^2} - \frac{2}{\epsilon}\Big(x\log x + y\log y\Big) \\
&\quad + \bigg(x\log^2 x + y\log^2 y - (1-x-y)\log x\log y \\
&\qquad + \lambda(1,x,y)\Big(2\log u\log v - \log x\log y - 2\text{Li}_2\,u - 2\text{Li}_2\,v + \frac{\pi^2}{3}\Big)\bigg) \\
&\quad - \epsilon\bigg(\frac{x}{3}\log^3 x + \frac{y}{3}\log^3 y - \frac{1-x-y}{2}\log x\log y\,\log(xy) \\
&\qquad + \lambda(1,x,y)\bigg\{\frac{1}{2}\log x\log y\,\log(xy) + \frac{4}{3}\log^3(1-w) \\
&\qquad\quad + 2\log^2(1-w)\,\big(\log(xy) - \log w\big) \\
&\qquad\quad + \log(1-w)\Big(\frac{2\pi^2}{3} + \log^2(xy)\Big) + \frac{4}{3}\log^3 W + \frac{2\pi^2}{3}\log W \\
&\qquad\quad - \frac{4}{3}\log^3 U + 2\log^2 U\,\log\frac{v^2}{y^2} - \log U\,\Big(\frac{2\pi^2}{3} + \log^2 y\Big) \\
&\qquad\quad - \frac{4}{3}\log^3 V + 2\log^2 V\,\log\frac{u^2}{x^2} - \log V\,\Big(\frac{2\pi^2}{3} + \log^2 x\Big) \\
&\qquad\quad - 2\log x\,\text{Li}_2\frac{u^2}{x} - 2\log y\,\text{Li}_2\frac{v^2}{y} + 2\log(xy)\,\text{Li}_2\,w \\
&\qquad\quad + 2\text{Li}_3\frac{u^2}{x} + 2\text{Li}_3\frac{v^2}{y} - 2\text{Li}_3\,w - 4\text{Li}_3(1-w) \\
&\qquad\quad + 4\text{Li}_3\,U + 4\text{Li}_3\,V - 2\zeta(3)\bigg\}\bigg) + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^2)\bigg]\,,
\end{aligned} \tag{79}$$

where

$$x = \frac{m_1^2}{m_3^2}, \quad y = \frac{m_2^2}{m_3^2}, \tag{80}$$

$$u = \tfrac{1}{2}\big[1 + x - y + \lambda(1,x,y)\big], \quad v = \tfrac{1}{2}\big[1 - x + y + \lambda(1,x,y)\big], \tag{81}$$

$$w = \Big(\frac{u}{x} - 1\Big)\Big(\frac{v}{y} - 1\Big), \tag{82}$$

$$U = \frac{x}{u}(1-w), \quad V = \frac{y}{v}(1-w), \tag{83}$$

$$W = \frac{x}{u} + \frac{y}{v} - 1. \tag{84}$$

For $m_1 = 0$ one obtains the simpler expression

$$\begin{aligned} T_3(0,m_2^2,m_3^2) = e^{2\gamma_{\mathbb{E}}\epsilon}\,(m_3^2)^{1-2\epsilon}\,\frac{\Gamma(1+\epsilon)^2}{2(1-\epsilon)(1-2\epsilon)}\Bigg[&\frac{1+y}{\epsilon^2} - \frac{2}{\epsilon}y\log y \\ &+\Big(y\log^2 y + 2(1-y)\,\mathrm{Li}_2(1-y)\Big) \\ &-\epsilon\Big(\frac{y}{3}\log^3 y + (1-y)\Big\{\log^2 y\,\log(1-y) - \frac{\pi^2}{3}\log y \\ &\qquad + 2\log y\,\mathrm{Li}_2(1-y) + 2\mathrm{Li}_3\,y + 4\mathrm{Li}_3(1-y) - 2\zeta(3)\Big\}\Big) \\ &+\mathcal{O}(\epsilon^2)\Bigg]. \end{aligned} \tag{85}$$

## References


[1] A. Freitas, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. **90**, 201 (2016) [arXiv:1604.00406 [hep-ph]].

[2] A. I. Davydychev and J. B. Tausk, Nucl. Phys. B **397**, 123 (1993).

[3] C. Ford, I. Jack and D. R. T. Jones, Nucl. Phys. B **387**, 373 (1992) [Erratum-ibid. **504**, 551 (1997)] [hep-ph/0111190]; R. Scharf and J. B. Tausk, Nucl. Phys. B **412**, 523 (1994).

[4] D. J. Broadhurst, Z. Phys. C **54**, 599 (1992); L. Avdeev, J. Fleischer, S. Mikhailov and O. Tarasov, Phys. Lett. B **336**, 560 (1994) [Erratum: Phys. Lett. B **349**, 597 (1995)] [hep-ph/9406363]; J. Fleischer and M. Y. Kalmykov, Phys. Lett. B **470**, 168 (1999) [hep-ph/9910223].

[5] D. J. Broadhurst, Eur. Phys. J. C **8**, 311 (1999) [hep-th/9803091].

[6] K. G. Chetyrkin and M. Steinhauser, Nucl. Phys. B **573**, 617 (2000) [hep-ph/9911434].

[7] Y. Schröder and A. Vuorinen, JHEP **0506**, 051 (2005) [hep-ph/0503209].

[8] J. Grigo, J. Hoff, P. Marquard and M. Steinhauser, Nucl. Phys. B **864**, 580 (2012) [arXiv:1206.3418 [hep-ph]]; results in the form of Mathematica code available at `https://www.ttp.kit.edu/Progdata/ttp12/ttp12-20/TwoMassTadpoles/`

[9] A. I. Davydychev and M. Y. Kalmykov, Nucl. Phys. B **699**, 3 (2004) [hep-th/0303162]; M. Y. Kalmykov, Nucl. Phys. B **718**, 276 (2005) [hep-ph/0503070]; S. Bekavac, A. G. Grozin, D. Seidel and V. A. Smirnov, Nucl. Phys. B **819**, 183 (2009) [arXiv:0903.4760 [hep-ph]]; V. V. Bytev, M. Y. Kalmykov and B. A. Kniehl, Nucl. Phys. B **836**, 129 (2010) [arXiv:0904.0214 [hep-th]].

[10] S. Laporta and E. Remiddi, Phys. Lett. B **301**, 440 (1993).

[11] E. Remiddi and J. A. M. Vermaseren, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **15**, 725 (2000) [hep-ph/9905237].

[12] S. Bauberger, F. A. Berends, M. Böhm and M. Buza, Nucl. Phys. B **434**, 383 (1995) [hep-ph/9409388]; M. Awramik, M. Czakon and A. Freitas, JHEP **0611**, 048 (2006) [arXiv:hep-ph/0608099].

[13] S. Bauberger and M. Böhm, Nucl. Phys. B **445**, 25 (1995) [hep-ph/9501201].

[14] S. P. Martin, talk given at *LoopFest XV*, Buffalo, NY, USA (2016); S. P. Martin and D. G. Robertson, in preparation.

[15] K. G. Chetyrkin and F. V. Tkachov, Nucl. Phys. B **192**, 159 (1981).

[16] G. Weiglein, R. Scharf and M. Böhm, Nucl. Phys. B **416**, 606 (1994) [hep-ph/9310358].

[17] D. J. Broadhurst, Z. Phys. C **47**, 115 (1990).

[18] K. G. Chetyrkin and F. V. Tkachov, Nucl. Phys. B **192**, 159 (1981).

[19] G. 't Hooft and M. J. G. Veltman, Nucl. Phys. B **153**, 365 (1979); G. J. van Oldenborgh and J. A. M. Vermaseren, Z. Phys. C **46**, 425 (1990); A. Denner, Fortsch. Phys. **41**, 307 (1993) [arXiv:0709.1075 [hep-ph]].

[20] Wolfram Research, Inc., "Mathematica, Version 10.2," Champaign, Illinois, USA (2015).

[21] R. Piessens, E. de Doncker-Kapenga, C. W. Überhuber, D. K. Kahanger, "QUADPACK, A Subroutine Package for Automatic Integration, Springer," Berlin (1983).

[22] T. Huber and D. Maitre, Comput. Phys. Commun. **178**, 755 (2008) [arXiv:0708.2443 [hep-ph]].

[23] D. Maitre, Comput. Phys. Commun. **174**, 222 (2006) [hep-ph/0507152].